

the floor. We know, this body has agreed that we are going to amend it on the floor so now is the time to do it. There is no time to wait around until next year. This does not belong on the statute books. It, as Senator Chamber's said, it's a matter of constitutional rights and it ought to be taken out.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, I think Senator Chambers and Senator Luedtke have touched on all the major sayings, criminal syndicalism, if you'll just take 5 minutes and read that section as it is defined. Why, it's ludicrous. I would guess that when you fellows go over to the lobby room and discuss things over there or up at the Nebraska Club, probably half of you every other night are guilty of criminal syndicalism in one way or another, which is a pretty serious crime because you are advocating a change in some law or other and under the interpretation of this section, it's broad enough to cover such activity as that. So, I can't even say common sense says get rid of it because it's more blatant and simple than that to even discuss retaining it in the statute is a crime in itself.

PRESIDENT: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, members of the body. I really only stand to add a few things to explain a little bit about what syndicalism is and to say that even as Senator Fowler talked about that syndicalism is a long since dead political theory along with Woodooism and Lassallian philosophy and so forth. As a political theory it is no longer existent. Now I don't know exactly what, as Senator Fowler has said, why we have created this statute but I do know that it is a travesty to keep anything as outmoded, as outdated, as unrealistic and as clearly unconstitutional as this would be. I do not think it is even specific enough to help; if somehow it could be constitutional...it's a very confusing section and it ought to be taken out of the law. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett, do you want to close?

SENATOR BARNETT: No, I think it's been stated real well. Anything, if Dick has a question, I'm not afraid to work with him on this to try to find out but I tried to assure him a little while ago that it was basically one of two things, freedom of speech and freedom of press. I think every speaker has put it very well that it is highly constitutionally suspect. I would ask for the adoption of this second amendment, Mr. President. That amendment would be to strike Sections 234, 235, and 236.

PRESIDENT: The question then is the adoption of Senator Barnett's amendment number 2. Record your vote. Have you voted? Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays. Now, Mr. President, the next amendment is offered by Senator Fowler as follows to Article 10, page 181, line 12 after "animal" strike the "." and insert "under 16 years of age".